arXiv:0808.0301v1 [math.FA] 3 Aug 2008

C^*-algebras associated to shift spaces

Notes for the summer school
Symbolic dynamics and homeomorphisms of the Cantor set,
University of Copenhagen, 23 – 27 June 2008

Toke Meier Carlsen*

---

*Toke.Carlsen@gmail.com

1. C^*-algebras associated to shift spaces

I will in these note give an introduction to C^*-algebras associated to shift spaces (also called subshifts). Notice that these notes contains an appendix about C^*-algebras, Morita equivalence and K-theory of C^*-algebras.

C^*-algebras associated to shift spaces was introduced by Kengo Matsumoto in [24] as a generalization of Cuntz-Krieger algebras (cf. [16]), and all the major results about them are essentially do to him. C^*-algebras associated to shift spaces have been studied by Matsumoto and his collaborators in [19, 21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36], and I have together with various collaborators contributed in [2, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15].

The approach I will take in these notes, is a little bit different from Matsumoto's original approach. One notable difference is that I will associate C^*-algebras to *one-sided* shift spaces, whereas Matsumoto associate C^*-algebras to *two-sided* shift spaces, but there are other differences as well. See [15, Section 7] for a discussion of the relationship between the different C^*-algebras that have been associated to shift spaces.

1.1. C^*-algebras of one-sided shift spaces

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a finite set endowed with the discrete topology. We will call this set the *alphabet* and its elements *letters*. Let $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ be the infinite product space $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{a}$ endowed with the product topology. The transformation σ on $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ given by

$$\big(\sigma(x)\big)_i = x_{i+1},\ i \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

is called the *(one-sided) shift*. Let X be a shift invariant closed subset of $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ (by shift invariant we mean that $\sigma(\mathsf{X}) \subseteq \mathsf{X}$, not necessarily $\sigma(\mathsf{X}) = \mathsf{X}$). The topological dynamical system $(\mathsf{X}, \sigma_{|\mathsf{X}})$ is called a *one-sided shift space* (or a *one-sided subshift*).

Example 1.1.1. If $\mathfrak{a}$ is an alphabet, then $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ itself is a shift space. We call $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ for the full one-sided $\mathfrak{a}$-shift.

We will denote $\sigma_{|\mathsf{X}}$ by σ_{X} or σ for simplicity, and on occasion the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}$ by $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathsf{X}}$. We denote the n-fold composition of σ with itself by σ^n, and we denote the preimage of a set X under σ^n by $\sigma^{-n}(X)$.

A finite sequence $u = (u_1, \dots, u_k)$ of elements $u_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ is called a finite *word*. The *length* of u is k and is denoted by $|u|$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we let $\mathfrak{a}^k$ be the set of all words with length k, and we let $\mathsf{L}^k(\mathsf{X})$ be the set of all words with length k appearing in some $x \in \mathsf{X}$. We let $\mathsf{L}^0(\mathsf{X}) = \mathfrak{a}^0$ denote the set $\{\epsilon\}$ consisting of the empty word ϵ which has length 0. We set $\mathsf{L}_l(\mathsf{X}) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{l} \mathsf{L}^k(\mathsf{X})$ and $\mathsf{L}(\mathsf{X}) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathsf{L}^k(\mathsf{X})$ and likewise $\mathfrak{a}_l = \bigcup_{k=0}^{l} \mathfrak{a}^k$ and

$\mathfrak{a}^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{a}^k$. The set $\mathsf{L}(\mathsf{X})$ is called the *language* of X. Note that $\mathsf{L}(\mathsf{X}) \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^*$ for every shift space.

If $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ with $|u| > 0$, then we will by u_1 denote the first letter (the leftmost) letter of u, by u_2 the second letter of u, and so on till $u_{|u|}$ which denotes the last (the rightmost) letter of u. Thus $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{|u|}$.

We will often denote an element $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ of $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ by

$$x_0 x_1 \cdots ,$$

and if $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, then we will by ux denote the sequence

$$u_1 u_2 \cdots u_{|u|} x_0 x_1 \cdots .$$

We will also often for a sequence x belonging to either $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ or $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and for integers $k < l$ belonging to the appropriate index set denote $x_k x_{k+1} \cdots x_{l-1}$ by $x_{[k,l[}$ and regard it as an element of $\mathfrak{a}^*$. Similarly, $x_{[k,\infty[}$ will denote the element

$$x_k x_{k+1} \cdots$$

of $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$.

Definition 1.1.2. Let X be a one-sided shift space. We let $l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$ be the C^*-algebras of bounded functions on X. We define two maps $\alpha : l^\infty(\mathsf{X}) \to l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$ and $\mathcal{L} : l^\infty(\mathsf{X}) \to l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$ by for $f \in l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$ and $x \in \mathsf{X}$ letting

$$\alpha(f)(x) = f(\sigma(x)) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}(f)(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\#\sigma^{-1}(\{x\})} \sum_{y \in \sigma^{-1}(\{x\})} f(y) & \text{if } x \in \sigma(\mathsf{X}), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \sigma(\mathsf{X}). \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.1.3. Let X be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}$. For every pair u, v of words in $\mathfrak{a}^*$, we let $C(u,v)$ denote the subset

$$\{vx \in \mathsf{X} \mid x, ux \in \mathsf{X}\}$$

of X which consists of those elements which begins with a v and which satisfies that the element obtained by replacing the beginning v with u also is an element of X.

We let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ be the C^*-subalgebra of $l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$ generated by $\{1_{C(u,v)} \mid u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$.

Proposition 1.1.4. *Let X be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}$. Then we have:*

(1) $C(\mathsf{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$,

(2) $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is the closure of

$$\operatorname{span} \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} 1_{C(u_i,v_i)} \mid u_1, \ldots, u_n, v_1, \ldots, v_n \in \mathfrak{a}^* \right\},$$

(3) $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is closed under α and $\mathcal{L}$ (i.e., $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}} \implies \alpha(f), \mathcal{L}(f) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$),

(4) if $\mathcal{X}$ is a C^*-subalgebra of $l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$ that is closed under α and $\mathcal{L}$ and contains $C(\mathsf{X})$, then $\mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. (1): For $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we have that $Z(u) := C(\epsilon, u) = \{ux \in \mathsf{X} \mid x \in \mathsf{X}\}$ is a clopen subset of X, and thus that $1_{Z(u)} \in C(\mathsf{X})$. Since $\{Z(u) \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$ separates the points of X, it follows from the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem that the C^*-subalgebra of $l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$ generated by $\{1_{Z(u)} \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$ is equal to $C(X)$. Thus $C(X) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$.

(2): By definition $\mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$ is the smallest C^*-subalgebra of $l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$ which contains $\{1_{C(u,v)} \mid u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$. It is not difficult to check that the closure of

$$\operatorname{span}\left\{\prod_{i=1}^n 1_{C(u_i,v_i)} \mid u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathfrak{a}^*\right\}.$$

satisfies this condition.

(3): Since α is a $*$-homomorphism, and $\mathcal{L}$ is linear and continuous, it is enough to prove that $\alpha(1_{C(u,v)}) \in \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$ for all $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, and that $\mathcal{L}(\prod_{i=1}^n 1_{C(u_i,v_i)}) \in \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$ for all $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, so let us do that:

If $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, then we have

$$\alpha(1_{C(u,v)}) = \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}} 1_{C(u,va)} \in \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}.$$

If $A, B \subseteq \mathsf{X}$ such that $1_A, 1_B \in \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$, then $1_{A \cup B} = 1_A + 1_B - 1_A 1_B \in \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$. Thus $1_{\sigma^n(\mathsf{X})} = 1_{\bigcup_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} C(u,\epsilon)} \in \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$. It follows that the function $1 - 1_{\sigma(\mathsf{X})} + \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}} 1_{C(a,\epsilon)}$ also belongs to $\mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$. Let us denote it by h. We have for $x \in \mathsf{X}$ that

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \#\sigma^{-1}(\{x\}) & \text{if } x \in \sigma(\mathsf{X}), \\ 1 & \text{if } x \notin \sigma(\mathsf{X}). \end{cases}$$

Thus h in invertible, and it follows from Fact A.1.6 that $h^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$. So the function $1_{\sigma(\mathsf{X})} - 1 + h^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$. Let us denote it by d. We have for $x \in \mathsf{X}$ that

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\#\sigma^{-1}(\{x\})} & \text{if } x \in \sigma(\mathsf{X}), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \sigma(\mathsf{X}). \end{cases}$$

If $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, then either $\prod_{i=1}^n 1_{C(u_i,v_i)} = 0$, all the v_i's are equal to the empty word, or all the non-empty v_i's begin with the same letter a'. In the first case $\mathcal{L}(\prod_{i=1}^n 1_{C(u_i,v_i)}) = 0$, in the second case we have

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\prod_{i=1}^n 1_{C(u_i,v_i)}\right) = d\left(\sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}} \prod_{i=1}^n 1_{C(u_i a,\epsilon)}\right) \in \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X},$$

and in the third case we have

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\prod_{i=1}^n 1_{C(u_i,v_i)}\right) = d 1_{C(a',\epsilon)} \prod_{i \in I} 1_{C(u_i,(v_i)_2 (v_i)_3 \dots (v_i)_{|v_i|})} \prod_{i \in I'} 1_{C(u_i a,\epsilon)} \in \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$$

where $I = \{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid v_i \neq \epsilon\}$ and $I' = \{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid v_i = \epsilon\}$.

(4): Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a C^*-subalgebra of $l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$ that is closed under α and $\mathcal{L}$ and contains $C(\mathsf{X})$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ let g_n be the function

$$1 - \mathcal{L}^n(1) + \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} \left(\mathcal{L}^n(1_{z(u)})\right)^2.$$

Then $g_n \in \mathcal{X}$, and for every $x \in \mathsf{X}$ we have

$$g_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\#\sigma^{-n}(\{x\})} & \text{if } x \in \sigma^n(\mathsf{X}), \\ 1 & \text{if } x \notin \sigma^n(\mathsf{X}). \end{cases}$$

Thus, g_n is invertible. It follows from Fact A.1.6 that g_n^{-1} and hence $f_n := g_n^{-1} + \mathcal{L}^n(1) - 1$ belong to $\mathcal{X}$. For every $x \in \mathsf{X}$ we have $f_n(x) = \#\sigma^{-n}(\{x\})$. Thus if $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, then $1_{C(u,v)} = 1_{Z(v)} \alpha^{|v|}(f_{|u|} \mathcal{L}^{|u|}(1_{z(u)})) \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $\mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$ is generated by $\{1_{C(u,v)} \mid u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$, it follows that $\mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$. ☐

Remark 1.1.5. Notice that it follows from [18, Theorem 1] that $C(\mathsf{X}) = \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$ if and only if X is of finite type.

Definition 1.1.6. Let X be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}$. For $w \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we let λ_w be the map from $l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$ to $l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$ given by

$$\lambda_w(f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(wx) & \text{if } wx \in \mathsf{X}, \\ 0 & \text{if } wx \notin \mathsf{X}, \end{cases}$$

for $f \in l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$ and $x \in \mathsf{X}$.

Lemma 1.1.7. *Let X be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}$ and let $w \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. Then λ_w is a $*$-homomorphism and $\lambda_w(\mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$.*

Proof. It is easy to check that λ_w is a $*$-homomorphism. Since $\mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$ is generated by $\{1_{C(u,v)} \mid u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$ and λ_w is a $*$-homomorphism, it is enough to check that $\lambda_w(1_{C(u,v)}) \in \mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$ for all $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, and this follows from the fact that

$$\lambda_w(1_{C(u,v)}) = \begin{cases} 1_{C(w,\epsilon)} 1_{C(uw',\epsilon)} & \text{if } w = vw', \\ 1_{C(w,\epsilon)} 1_{C(u,v')} & \text{if } wv' = v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

☐

Definition 1.1.8. Let X be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}$. By a *representation* of X on a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$ we mean a pair $(\phi, (t_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^*})$ where ϕ is a $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{D}_\mathsf{X}$ to $\mathcal{X}$ and $(t_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^*}$ is a family of elements of $\mathcal{X}$ such that

(1) $t_u t_v = t_{uv}$,

(2) $\phi(1_{C(u,v)}) = t_v t_u^* t_u t_v^*$

for all $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$.

We denote by $C^*((\phi, (t_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^*}))$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}$ generated by $\{t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$.

Let X be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}$ and let H be a Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $(e_x)_{x \in \mathsf{X}}$ with the same cardinality as X (we can for example let H be $l^2(\mathsf{X})$ and $e_x = \delta_x$).

For every $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, let T_u be the bounded operator on H defined by

$$T_u(e_x) = \begin{cases} e_{ux} & \text{if } ux \in \mathsf{X}, \\ 0 & \text{if } ux \notin \mathsf{X}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

and let $\phi : \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{H})$ be the $*$-homomorphism defined by

$$\phi(f)(e_x) = f(x) e_x. \tag{1.2}$$

It is easy to check that $(\phi, (T_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^*})$ is a representation of X. Thus we have:

Proposition 1.1.9. *Let X be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}$ and let H be a Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $(e_x)_{x \in \mathsf{X}}$ with the same cardinality as X. For every $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, let T_u be the bounded operator on H defined by* (1.1), *and let $\phi : \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{H})$ be the $*$-homomorphism defined by* (1.2)*. Then $(\phi, (T_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^*})$ is a representation of X on $\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{H})$.*

Theorem 1.1.10 (cf. [12, Remark 7.3] and [15, Theorem 10])**.** *Let X be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}$. There exists a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ and a representation $(\iota, (s_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^*})$ of X on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ satisfying:*

(1) $C^*(\iota, (s_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^*}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$,

(2) if $(\phi, (t_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^*})$ is a representation of X on a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$, then there exists a $*$-homomorphism $\psi_{(\phi, (t_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^*})} : \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ such that $\psi_{(\phi, (t_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^*})} \circ \iota = \phi$ and $\psi_{(\phi, (t_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^*})}(s_u) = t_u$ for every $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$.

The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ can be constructed in different ways, for example as the C^*-algebra of a groupoid (see [11]), as the C^*-algebra of a C^*-correspondence (see [12]), or as one of Ruy Exel's crossed product C^*-algebras of an endomorphism and a transfer operator (see [15]).

We will through these notes let $(\iota, (s_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^*})$ denote the representation of X on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ mentioned in Theorem 1.1.10.

Remark 1.1.11. We notice that since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is generated by a countable family, it is separable.

Lemma 1.1.12. *Let X be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}$. The $*$-homomorphism $\iota : \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is injective.*

Proof. Notice that the $*$-homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{H})$ from Proposition 1.1.9 is injective. It follows from Theorem 1.1.10 that there exists a $*$-homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{H})$ such that $\psi \circ \iota = \phi$. It follows that ι is injective. $\square$

We will from now on view $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ as a subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ and suppress ι. This allows us to state and prove the following lemma about the fundamental structure of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ which we will use throughout these notes without any reference.

Lemma 1.1.13. *Let* X *be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet* $\mathfrak{a}$*. We then have:*

(1) $s_\epsilon = s_\epsilon^* = s_\epsilon^2 = 1_{\mathsf{X}}$ *is a unit for* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$*,*

(2) if $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$*, then* $s_u s_u^* = 1_{C(\epsilon,u)}$*,*

(3) if $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$*, then* s_u *is a partial isometry (i.e.,* $s_u s_u^* s_u = s_u$ *and* $s_u^* s_u s_u^* = s_u^*$*),*

(4) if $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ *and* $|u| = |v|$*, then we have*

$$s_u^* s_v = \begin{cases} 1_{C(u,\epsilon)} & \text{if } u = v, \\ 0 & \text{if } u \neq v. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1): Since $\epsilon\epsilon = \epsilon$, it follows from (1) of Definition 1.1.8 that $s_\epsilon^2 = s_\epsilon$ from which it follows that $s_\epsilon s_\epsilon^* = (s_\epsilon s_\epsilon^* + (s_\epsilon - s_\epsilon s_\epsilon^*))(s_\epsilon s_\epsilon^* + (s_\epsilon^* - s_\epsilon s_\epsilon^*)) = s_\epsilon s_\epsilon^* + (s_\epsilon - s_\epsilon s_\epsilon^*)(s_\epsilon - s_\epsilon s_\epsilon^*)^*$. Thus $s_\epsilon = s_\epsilon s_\epsilon^*$ which shows that s_ϵ is self-adjoint and hence a projection.

Thus it follows from (2) of Definition 1.1.8 that $s_\epsilon = s_\epsilon s_\epsilon^* s_\epsilon s_\epsilon^* = 1_{C(\epsilon,\epsilon)} = 1_{\mathsf{X}}$. It now immediately follows from (1) of Definition 1.1.8 that s_ϵ is a unit for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$.

(2): If $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, then $s_u^* s_u = s_\epsilon s_u^* s_u s_\epsilon^* = 1_{C(\epsilon,u)}$, and $s_u s_u^* = s_u s_\epsilon^* s_\epsilon s_u^* = 1_{C(u,\epsilon)}$.

(3): Follows from (2).

(4): Let $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ with $|u| = |v|$. If $u \neq v$ then $C(\epsilon, u) \cap C(\epsilon, v) = \epsilon$ and so $s_u^* s_v = s_u^* s_u s_u^* s_v s_v^* s_v = s_u^* 1_{C(\epsilon,u)} 1_{C(\epsilon,v)} s_v = 0$. $\square$

Proposition 1.1.14. *Let* X *be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet* $\mathfrak{a}$*, let* $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$*, let* $w \in \mathfrak{a}^n$ *and let* $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$*. Then we have:*

(1) $\lambda_w(f) = s_w^* f s_w$*,*

(2) $s_w^* f = \lambda_w(f) s_w^*$*,*

(3) $\alpha^n(f) = \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u f s_u^*$*,*

(4) $s_w f = \alpha^n(f) s_w$*,*

(5) $\sum_{u,v \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u s_v^* s_v s_u^*$ *is equal to the function* $x \mapsto \#\sigma^{-n}(\{\sigma^n(x)\})$ *and is thus invertible,*

(6) $\mathcal{L}^n(f) = (\sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u)^* (\sum_{u,v \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u s_v^* s_v s_u^*)^{-1} f (\sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u)$*.*

Proof. (1): It is clear that the map $f \mapsto s_w^* f s_w$ is linear and $*$-preserving. If $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$, then it follows from Lemma 1.1.13 and the fact that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is commutative that we have

$$s_w^* f s_w s_w^* g s_w = s_w^* f 1_{C(\epsilon,w)} g s_w = s_w^* 1_{C(\epsilon,w)} f g s_w = s_w^* s_w s_w^* f g s_w = s_w^* f g s_w,$$

which shows that the map $f \mapsto s_w^* f s_w$ is also multiplicative and thus is a $*$-homomorphism. According to Lemma 1.1.7, λ_w is a $*$-homomorphism, and since $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is generated by $\{1_{C(u,v)} \mid u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$, it is therefore enough to check that for $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we have $\lambda_w(1_{C(u,v)}) = s_w^* 1_{C(u,v)} s_w$, so let us do that:

It is easy to check that

$$\lambda_w(1_{C(u,v)}) = \begin{cases} 1_{C(w,\epsilon)} 1_{C(uw',\epsilon)} & \text{if } w = vw', \\ 1_{C(w,\epsilon)} 1_{C(u,v')} & \text{if } wv' = v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows from Lemma 1.1.13 that if $s_w^* s_v \neq 0$, then either $w = vw'$ for some $w' \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, or $v = wv'$ for some $v' \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. In the first case we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_w^* s_v s_u^* s_u s_v^* s_w &= s_{w'}^* 1_{C(v,\epsilon)} 1_{C(u,\epsilon)} 1_{C(v,\epsilon)} s_{w'} = s_{w'}^* 1_{C(v,\epsilon)} 1_{C(w',\epsilon)} 1_{C(u,\epsilon)} s_{w'} \\ &= s_{w'}^* s_v^* s_v s_{w'}^* s_{w'} s_u^* s_u s_{w'} = s_{vw'}^* s_{vw'} s_{uw'}^* s_{uw'} = 1_{C(w,\epsilon)} 1_{C(uw',\epsilon)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the second case we have

$$s_w^* s_v s_u^* s_u s_v^* s_w = s_w^* s_w s_{v'} s_u^* s_u s_{v'}^* s_w^* s_w = 1_{C(w,\epsilon)} 1_{C(u,v')}.$$

Thus $\lambda_w(1_{C(u,v)}) = s_w^* 1_{C(u,v)} s_w$ as wanted.

(2): It follows from (1), Lemma 1.1.13 and the fact that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is commutative that we have

$$s_w^* f = s_w^* s_w s_w^* f = s_w^* 1_{C(\epsilon,w)} f = s_w^* f 1_{C(\epsilon,w)} = s_w^* f s_w s_w^* = \lambda_w(f) s_w^*.$$

(3): The map $f \mapsto \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u f s_u^*$ is clearly linear and $*$-preserving. If $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$, then it follows from Lemma 1.1.13 and the fact that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is commutative that we have

$$\left(\sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u f s_u^* \right) \left(\sum_{v \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_v g s_v^* \right) = \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u f 1_{C(u,\epsilon)} g s_u^* = \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u f g 1_{C(u,\epsilon)} s_u^* = \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u f g s_u^*,$$

which proves that the map $f \mapsto \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u f s_u^*$ is multiplicative, and thus a $*$-homomorphism. Since α^n is also a $*$-homomorphism and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is generated by $\{1_{C(u,v)} \mid u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$, it is therefore enough to check that for $u', v' \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ we have $\alpha^n(1_{C(u',v')}) = \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u 1_{C(u',v')} s_u^*$, and that follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u 1_{C(u',v')} s_u^* &= \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u s_{v'} s_{u'}^* s_{u'} s_{v'}^* s_u^* \\ &= \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_{uv'} s_{u'}^* s_{u'} s_{uv'}^* = \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} 1_{C(u',uv')} = \alpha^n(1_{C(u',v')}). \end{aligned}$$

(4): It follows from (3), Lemma 1.1.13 and the fact that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is commutative that we have

$$s_w f = s_w s_w^* s_w f = s_w 1_{C(w,\epsilon)} f = s_w f 1_{C(w,\epsilon)} = s_w f s_w^* s_w = \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u f s_u^* s_w = \alpha^n(f) s_w.$$

(5): Follows from Lemma 1.1.13 and (3).

(6): If $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^n$ and $u \neq v$, then it follows from Lemma 1.1.13 and the fact that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is commutative, that we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_u^* \left(\sum_{u,v \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u s_v^* s_v s_u^* \right)^{-1} f s_v &= s_u^* 1_{C(\epsilon,u)} \left(\sum_{u,v \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u s_v^* s_v s_u^* \right)^{-1} f 1_{C(\epsilon,v)} s_v \\ &= s_u^* \left(\sum_{u,v \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u s_v^* s_v s_u^* \right)^{-1} f 1_{C(\epsilon,u)} 1_{C(\epsilon,v)} s_v = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows from (1) and (5) that we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u \right)^* \left(\sum_{u,v \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u s_v^* s_v s_u^* \right)^{-1} f \left(\sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u \right) &= \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{a}^n} \left(s_w^* \left(\sum_{u,v \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u s_v^* s_v s_u^* \right)^{-1} f s_w \right) \\ &= \sum_{w \in \mathfrak{a}^n} \lambda_w \left(\left(\sum_{u,v \in \mathfrak{a}^n} s_u s_v^* s_v s_u^* \right)^{-1} f \right) = \mathcal{L}^n(f). \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 1.1.15. *Let* X *be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet* $\mathfrak{a}$. *Then* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ *is the closure of*

$$\operatorname{span}\{s_u f s_v^* \mid u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*,\ f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}\}.$$

Proof. Let us by $\mathcal{X}$ denote $\operatorname{span}\{s_u f s_v^* \mid u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*,\ f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}\}$. Since $\{s_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{X}$ is a $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$. It is obvious that $\mathcal{X}$ is closed under addition and conjugation, so it is enough to prove that if $u, v, u', v' \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $f, f' \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$, then $s_u f s_v^* s_{u'} f' s_{v'}^* \in \mathcal{X}$, so let us do that:

Let us first assume that $|v| \geq |u'|$. It follows from Lemma 1.1.13 that if $s_u f s_v^* s_{u'} f' s_{v'}^* \neq 0$, then there exists a $w \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $v = u'w$, and in that case it follows from Proposition 1.1.14 that we have

$$s_u f s_v^* s_{u'} f' s_{v'}^* = s_u f s_w^* s_{u'}^* s_{u'} f' s_{v'}^* = s_u f s_w^* 1_{C(u',\epsilon)} f' s_{v'}^* = s_u f \lambda_w (1_{C(u',\epsilon)} f') s_w^* s_{v'}^* \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Let us then assume that $|v| \leq |u'|$. It follows from Lemma 1.1.13 that if $s_u f s_v^* s_{u'} f' s_{v'}^* \neq 0$, then there exists a $w \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $u' = vw$, and in that case it follows from Proposition 1.1.14 that we have

$$s_u f s_v^* s_{u'} f' s_{v'}^* = s_u f s_v^* s_v s_w f' s_{v'}^* = s_u f 1_{C(u',\epsilon)} s_w f' s_{v'}^* = s_u s_w \lambda_w (f 1_{C(u',\epsilon)}) f' s_{v'}^* \in \mathcal{X}.$$

□

An *automorphism* of a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$ is a $*$-isomorphism from $\mathcal{X}$ onto itself. We will by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ denote the set of automorphisms of $\mathcal{X}$. The set $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ becomes a group when equipt with composition. An *action* of a group G on a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$ is a homomorphism from G to $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$. We say that an action $\alpha : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ of a topological group G is *strongly continuous* if for every convergent sequence $(g_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in G and every $x \in \mathcal{X}$, the sequence $\alpha(g_n)(x)$ converges to $\alpha(\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n)(x)$.

We will by $\mathbb{T}$ denote the group $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$. The following lemma will be useful for checking if an action of $\mathbb{T}$ on a C^*-algebra is strongly continuous.

Lemma 1.1.16. *Let* $\mathfrak{a}$ *be an alphabet. If* $\mathcal{X}$ *is a* C^**-algebra generated by a family* $(x_u)_{u\in\mathfrak{a}^*}$ *and* $\alpha : \mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{X}$ *is an action such that* $\alpha(z)(x_u) = z^{|u|}x_u$ *and for every* $z \in \mathbb{T}$ *and every* $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$*, then* α *is strongly continuous.*

Proof. Let X be the set of elements x of $\mathcal{X}$ which satisfies that if $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to z in $\mathbb{T}$, then $\alpha(z_n)(x)$ converges to $\alpha_z(x)$ in $\mathcal{X}$. It is straight forward to check that X is a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}$, and since we for every $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ have $x_u \in X$, it follows that $X = \mathcal{X}$. ☐

Proposition 1.1.17. *Let* X *be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet* $\mathfrak{a}$*. Then there exists a strongly continuous action* $z \mapsto \gamma_z$ *of* $\mathbb{T}$ *on* $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ *such that* $\gamma_z(s_u) = z^{|u|}s_u$ *and* $\gamma_z(f) = f$ *for every* $z \in \mathbb{T}$*,* $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ *and* $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$*.*

Proof. Let $z \in \mathbb{T}$. It is easy to check that $(\iota, (z^{|u|}s_u)_{u\in\mathfrak{a}^*})$ is a representation of X on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$. Thus there exists a $*$-homomorphism $\gamma_z\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ such that $\gamma_z(s_u) = z^{|u|}s_u$ and $\gamma_z(f) = f$ for every $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and every $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$.

If $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ and $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, then we have

$$\gamma_{z_1}\big(\gamma_{z_2}(s_u)\big) = \gamma_{z_1}(z_2^{|u|}s_u) = z_1^{|u|}z_2^{|u|}s_u = (z_1z_2)^{|u|}s_u = \gamma_{z_1z_2}(s_u).$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is generated by $\{s_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$, it follows that $\gamma_{z_1} \circ \gamma_{z_2} = \gamma_{z_1z_2}$. We have in particular that $\gamma_z \circ \gamma_{z^{-1}} = \gamma_{z^{-1}} \circ \gamma_z = \gamma_1 = \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}}$ for every $z \in \mathbb{T}$, so $\gamma_z \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}})$, and $z \mapsto \gamma_z$ is an action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$. That this action is strongly continuous follows from Lemma 1.1.16. ☐

The action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ from Proposition 1.1.17 is called *the gauge action* of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$. Since γ is strongly continuous, it follows that we for every $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ have that the function $z \mapsto \gamma_z(x)$ is a continuous function from $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$. Thus we can make sense out of the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \gamma_z(x)dz$$

(cf. [41, Lemma C.3.]).

Proposition 1.1.18. *Let* X *be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet* $\mathfrak{a}$*. If we for every* $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ *let*

$$E(x) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \gamma_z(x)dz,$$

then E is a linear non-expensive contraction (i.e., $||E(x)|| \leq ||x||$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$) from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ to itself such that

$$E(s_u f s_v^*) = \begin{cases} s_u f s_v^* & \text{if } |u| = |v|, \\ 0 & \text{if } |u| \neq |v| \end{cases}$$

for $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$.

Proof. It is clear that E is linear. If $\phi : \mathbb{T} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is continuous, then $\|\int_{\mathbb{T}} f(z)dz\| \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|f(z)\| dz$ (see [41, Lemma C.3.]), and if $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$, then $\|\gamma_z(x)\| = \|x\|$ for every $z \in \mathbb{T}$ since γ_z is an automorphism, so we have

$$\|E(x)\| = \left\| \int_{\mathbb{T}} \gamma_z(x) dz \right\| \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|\gamma_z(x)\| dz = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \|x\| dz = \|x\|.$$

Let $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$. If $|u| = |v|$, then $\gamma_z(s_u f s_v^*) = s_u f s_v^*$ for every $z \in \mathbb{T}$, so $E(s_u f s_v^*) = s_u f s_v^*$. If $|u| \neq |v|$, then we have for every $z \in \mathbb{T}$ that $\gamma_z(s_u f s_v^*) = z^n s_u f s_v^*$ where $n = |u| - |v| \neq 0$, and since $\int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n dz = 0$, it follows that $E(s_u f s_v^*) = 0$. ☐

The map E from Proposition 1.1.18 is a so called *faithful conditional expectation.*

Definition 1.1.19. Let X be a one-sided shift space. We let $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}}$ denote the *fix-point algebra*

$$\{x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}} \mid \forall z \in \mathbb{T} : \gamma_z(x) = x\}$$

of the gauge action γ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$.

Notice that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$.

Proposition 1.1.20. *Let X be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}$. Then we have that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is equal to the closure of*

$$\operatorname{span}\{s_u f s_v^* \mid u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*,\ |u| = |v|,\ f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}\},$$

and that $E(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}$ denote the closure of $\operatorname{span}\{s_u f s_v^* \mid u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*,\ |u| = |v|,\ f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}\}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}}$, and that $x = E(x) \in E(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}})$ for every $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}}$. It follows from Proposition 1.1.15 and 1.1.18 that $E(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}) = \mathcal{X}$. Thus we have $E(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}) = \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}} \subseteq E(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}})$ from which the conclusion follows. ☐

The following theorem is an important and useful tool when one works with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$. I will not give a proof for it here.

Theorem 1.1.21. *Let X be a one-sided shift space, $\mathcal{X}$ a C^*-algebra and $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ a surjective $*$-homomorphism. Then the following two statements are equivalent:*

(1) The $*$-homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}} \to \mathcal{X}$ is a $*$-isomorphism.

(2) The restriction of ϕ to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is injective and there exists an action $\widetilde{\gamma} : \mathbb{T} \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma}_z(\phi(s_u)) = z^{|u|}\phi(s_u)$ for every $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and every $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$.

1.2. One-sided conjugation

Definition 1.2.1. Let X_1 and X_2 be one-sided shift spaces. We say that X_1 and X_2 are *conjugate* if there exists a homeomorphism $\phi : \mathsf{X}_1 \to \mathsf{X}_2$ such that $\phi \circ \sigma_{\mathsf{X}_1} = \sigma_{\mathsf{X}_2} \circ \phi$. We call such a homeomorphism for a *conjugacy*.

Definition 1.2.2. Let X be a one-sided shift space over the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}$. We will by λ_{X} denote the map

$$x \mapsto \left(\sum_{a\in\mathfrak{a}} s_a^*\right) x \left(\sum_{b\in\mathfrak{a}} s_b\right)$$

from $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}}$ to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}}$.

Theorem 1.2.3. *Let X_1 and X_2 be one-sided shift spaces. If X_1 and X_2 are conjugate, then there exists a $*$-isomorphism ψ from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_1}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_2}$ such that*

(1) $\psi(C(\mathsf{X}_1)) = C(\mathsf{X}_2)$,

(2) $\psi(\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_1}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_2}$,

(3) $\psi(\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}_1}) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}_2}$,

(4) $\psi \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \psi$,

(5) $\psi \circ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \circ \psi$,

(6) $\psi \circ \gamma_z = \gamma_z \circ \psi$ *for every* $z \in \mathbb{T}$,

(7) $\psi \circ \lambda_{\mathsf{X}_1} = \lambda_{\mathsf{X}_2} \circ \psi$

Proof. Let ϕ be a conjugacy between X_2 and X_1, and let Φ be the map between the bounded functions on X_1 and the bounded functions on X_2 defined by

$$f \mapsto f \circ \phi.$$

Then $\Phi(C(\mathsf{X}_1)) = C(\mathsf{X}_2)$, $\Phi \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \Phi$ and $\Phi \circ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \circ \Phi$, so it follows from Proposition 1.1.4 that $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_1}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_2}$.

Let $\mathfrak{a}_1$ be the alphabet of X_1 and $\mathfrak{a}_2$ the alphabet of X_2. For $u \in \mathfrak{a}_1^*$ and $v \in \mathfrak{a}_2^*$ with $|u| = |v|$ let $D(u,v) = \{x \in \mathsf{X}_2 \mid vx \in \mathsf{X}_2,\ \phi(vx) = u\phi(x)\}$ and $Z(u) = C(\epsilon, u)$. Then we have that $1_{D(u,v)} = \lambda_v(\Phi(1_{Z(u)}) \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_2}$. For $u \in \mathfrak{a}_1^*$ let $t_u = \sum_{v\in\mathfrak{a}_2^{|u|}} s_v 1_{D(u,v)}$.

We will show that $(\Phi, (t_u)_{u\in\mathfrak{a}_1^*})$ is a representation of X_1 on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_2}$. If $u_1, u_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_1^*$ and $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^*$ with $|u_1| = |v_1|$ and $|u_2| = |v_2|$, then we have

$$1_{D(u_1u_2, v_1v_2)} = \lambda_{v_2}\Big(\lambda_{v_1}\big(\Phi(1_{Z(u_1)})\big)\Phi(1_{Z(u_2)})\Big),$$

so it follows from Proposition 1.1.14 that we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1_{D(u_1,v_1)} s_{v_2} 1_{D(u_2,v_2)} &= \lambda_{v_1}\big(\Phi(1_{Z(u_1)})\big) s_{v_2} \lambda_{v_2}\big(\Phi(1_{Z(u_2)})\big) \\ &= s_{v_2}\lambda_{v_2}\Big(\lambda_{v_1}\big(\Phi(1_{Z(u_1)})\big)\Phi(1_{Z(u_2)})\Big) \\ &= s_{v_2} 1_{D(u_1u_2, v_1v_2)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if $u_1, u_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_1^*$, then we have

$$t_{u_1} t_{u_2} = \sum_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_1|}} s_{v_1} 1_{D(u_1,v_1)} \sum_{v_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_2|}} s_{v_2} 1_{D(u_2,v_2)} = \sum_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_1|}} \sum_{v_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_2|}} s_{v_1} s_{v_2} 1_{D(u_1 u_2, v_1 v_2)} = t_{u_1 u_2}.$$

We also have that

$$\begin{aligned}
t_{u_1} t_{u_2}^* t_{u_2} t_{u_1}^* &= \sum_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_1|}} s_{v_1} 1_{D(u_1,v_1)} \sum_{v_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_2|}} 1_{D(u_2,v_2)} s_{v_2}^* \sum_{v_3 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_2|}} s_{v_3} 1_{D(u_2,v_3)} \sum_{v_4 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_1|}} 1_{D(u_1,v_4)} s_{v_4}^* \\
&= \sum_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_1|}} \sum_{v_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_2|}} \sum_{v_4 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_1|}} s_{v_1} 1_{D(u_1,v_1)} 1_{D(u_2,v_2)} 1_{C(v_2,\epsilon)} 1_{D(u_2,v_2)} 1_{D(u_1,v_4)} s_{v_4}^* \\
&= \sum_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_1|}} \sum_{v_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_2|}} \sum_{v_4 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_1|}} s_{v_1} 1_{D(u_1,v_1)} 1_{D(u_2,v_2)} 1_{D(u_1,v_4)} s_{v_4}^* \\
&= \sum_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_1|}} \sum_{v_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_2|}} s_{v_1} 1_{D(u_1,v_1)} 1_{D(u_2,v_2)} s_{v_1}^* \\
&= \sum_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_1|}} \sum_{v_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_2|}} \alpha^{|v_1|}(1_{D(u_1,v_1)} 1_{D(u_2,v_2)}) s_{v_1} s_{v_1}^* \\
&= \sum_{v_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_1|}} \sum_{v_2 \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u_2|}} \alpha^{|v_1|}(1_{D(u_1,v_1)} 1_{D(u_2,v_2)}) 1_{Z(v_1)} \\
&= \Phi(1_{C(u_2,u_1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\Phi, (t_u)_{u \in \mathfrak{a}_1^*})$ is a representation of X_1 on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_2}$. It follows that there exists an isomorphism ψ from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_1}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_2}$ such that $\psi(s_u) = t_u = \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u|}} s_v 1_{D(u,v)}$ for every $u \in \mathfrak{a}_1^*$ and $\psi(f) = \Phi(f)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_1}$.

One can in a similarly way prove that there exists an isomorphism η from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_2}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_1}$ such that $\psi(s_v) = \sum_{u \in \mathfrak{a}_1^{|v|}} s_u 1_{\tilde{D}(v,u)}$ for every $v \in \mathfrak{a}_2^*$ and $\rho(f) = \Phi^{-1}(f)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_2}$ where $\tilde{D}(v,u) = \{x \in \mathsf{X}_1 \mid ux \in \mathsf{X}_1,\ \phi^{-1}(ux) = v\phi^{-1}(x)\}$. If $u, u' \in \mathfrak{a}_1^*$ with $|u| = |u'|$, then $\sum_{v \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u|}} 1_{\tilde{D}(v,u')} \Phi^{-1}(1_{D(u,v)}) = 0$ if $u \neq u'$, and $\sum_{v \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u|}} 1_{\tilde{D}(v,u')} \Phi^{-1}(1_{D(u,v)}) = 1_{C(u,\epsilon)}$ if $u = u'$. It follows that if $u \in \mathfrak{a}_1^*$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(\psi(s_u)) = \rho\Big(\sum_{v \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u|}} s_v 1_{D(u,v)}\Big) &= \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u|}} \sum_{u' \in \mathfrak{a}_1^{|v|}} s_{u'} 1_{\tilde{D}(v,u')} \Phi^{-1}(1_{D(u,v)}) \\
&= s_u 1_{C(u,\epsilon)} = s_u s_u^* s_u = s_u.
\end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we can show that $\psi(\rho(s_v)) = s_v$ for every $v \in \mathfrak{a}_2^*$. Thus ρ is the inverse of ψ, and ψ is an isomorphism.

Since $\psi(f) = \Phi(f)$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_1}$, it follows that ψ has the properties (1),(2),(4) and (5). If $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and $u \in \mathfrak{a}_1^*$, then we have

$$\psi\big(\gamma_z(s_u)\big) = \psi(z^{|u|} s_u) = z^{|u|} \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u|}} s_v 1_{D(u,v)} = \gamma_z \left(\sum_{v \in \mathfrak{a}_2^{|u|}} s_v 1_{D(u,v)} \right) = \gamma_z \left(\psi(s_u) \right).$$

It follows that ψ has property (6). It follows from this and Proposition 1.1.17 that ψ also has property (3).

Let $v \in \mathfrak{a}_2^*$. Then we have that $\sum_{u\in\mathfrak{a}_1^{|v|}} 1_{D(u,v)} = 1_{C(v,\epsilon)}$. Thus we have for every $x \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}_1}$ that

$$\psi\big(\lambda_{\mathsf{X}_1}(x)\big) = \psi\left(\left(\sum_{a\in\mathfrak{a}_1} s_a^*\right)x\left(\sum_{b\in\mathfrak{a}_1} s_b\right)\right) = \sum_{a\in\mathfrak{a}_1}\sum_{b\in\mathfrak{a}_1}\sum_{c\in\mathfrak{a}_2}\sum_{d\in\mathfrak{a}_2} 1_{D(a,c)}s_c^*\psi(x)s_d 1_{D(b,d)}$$
$$= \sum_{c\in\mathfrak{a}_2}\sum_{d\in\mathfrak{a}_2} 1_{C(c,\epsilon)}s_c^*\psi(x)s_d 1_{C(d,\epsilon)} = \sum_{c\in\mathfrak{a}_2}\sum_{d\in\mathfrak{a}_2} s_c^*\psi(x)s_d = \lambda_{\mathsf{X}_2}\big(\psi(x)\big).$$

This proves that ψ has property (7). □

1.3. Two-sided conjugacy

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a finite alphabet and let $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the infinite product space $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{a}$ endowed with the product topology. The transformation τ on $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ given by

$$\big(\tau(x)\big)_i = x_{i+1},\ i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

is called the *two-sided shift*. Let Λ be a closed subset of $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\tau(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. The topological dynamical system $(\Lambda, \tau_{|\Lambda})$ is called a *two-sided shift space*. We will denote $\tau_{|\Lambda}$ by τ_Λ or just τ for simplicity.

Given a two-sided shift space Λ we can construct a one-sided shift space, namely

$$\{(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0} \mid (x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda\}.$$

We will denote this one-sided shift space by X_Λ.

Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be two two-sided shift spaces. We say that Λ_1 and Λ_2 are *(topological) conjugate* if there exists a homeomorphism $\psi : \Lambda_1 \to \Lambda_2$ such that $\psi \circ \tau_{\Lambda_1} = \tau_{\Lambda_2}$. I will in this section show that if Λ_1 and Λ_2 are conjugate, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}}$ are Morita equivalent (cf. Section A.2) . This was proved in [32] in the case where Λ_1 and Λ_2 both satisfy two conditions called (I) and (E), and later in [35] under the assumption of (I), but we will here prove it without any restrictions on Λ_1 and Λ_2. We will in our proof closely follow the proof of [35, Theorem 3.11], but we will modify our proof such that it will work without the requirement that Λ_1 and Λ_2 satisfy condition (I).

Like Matsumoto does in [32], we will use the notation of *bipartite code* introduced by Nasu (cf. [38] and [39]) who showed that every conjugacy between two-sided shift spaces can be factorized into compositions of bipartite codes. We will here briefly recall the necessary definitions:

Let $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{a}_1'$ and $\mathfrak{a}_2'$ be alphabets. A one-to-one map from $\mathfrak{a}$ to $\mathfrak{a}_1'\mathfrak{a}_2' := \{bc \mid b \in \mathfrak{a}_1',\ c \in \mathfrak{a}_2'\}$ is called a *bipartite expression* of A. Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be two two-sided shift spaces and let $f_1 : \mathfrak{a}_1 \to \mathfrak{a}_1'\mathfrak{a}_2'$ be a bipartite expression of the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}_1$ of Λ_1. A map $\phi : \Lambda_1 \to \Lambda_2$ is called a *bipartite code induced by* f_1 if there exists bipartite expression $f_2 : \mathfrak{a}_2 \to \mathfrak{a}_2'\mathfrak{a}_1'$ of the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}_2$ of Λ_2 such that either of the following (1) or (2) is the case:

(1) If $(a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_1$, $\phi\big((a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\big) = (d_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $f_1(a_i) = b_ic_i$ with $b_i \in \mathfrak{a}_1'$ and $c_i \in \mathfrak{a}_2'$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $f_2(d_i) = c_ib_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) If $(a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_2$, $\phi\big((a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\big) = (d_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $f_1(a_i) = b_ic_d$ with $b_i \in \mathfrak{a}_1$ and $c_i \in \mathfrak{a}_2$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $f_2(d_i) = c_{i-1}b_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is easy to check that a bipartite code is a conjugacy, and that if ϕ is a bipartite code, then so is ϕ^{-1}.

Theorem 1.3.1 (Theorem 2.4 of [38])**.** *Any conjugacy between two-sided shift spaces can be decomposed into a composition of bipartite codes.*

Thus, in order to prove that if two two-sided shift spaces Λ_1 and Λ_2 are conjugate, then the C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}}$ are Morita equivalent, it is enough to prove it in the case where there exists a bipartite code between Λ_1 and Λ_2.

So, let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be two-sided shift spaces with alphabets $\mathfrak{a}_1$ and $\mathfrak{a}_2$ respectively, and let $\mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{c}$ be two alphabets, $f_1 : \mathfrak{a}_1 \to \mathfrak{a}_1'\mathfrak{a}_2'$ and $f_2 : \mathfrak{a}_2 \to \mathfrak{a}_2'\mathfrak{a}_1'$ two bipartite expression of $\mathfrak{a}_1$ and $\mathfrak{a}_2$ respectively, and let $\phi : \Lambda_1 \to \Lambda_2$ be a map such that condition (1) above holds. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the disjoint union of $\mathfrak{a}_1'$ and $\mathfrak{a}_2'$, and let $\tilde{f}_1$ and $\tilde{f}_2$ denote the maps from X_{Λ_1} and X_{Λ_2} respectively to $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ given by $\tilde{f}_1((a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}) = (f_1(a_i))_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\tilde{f}_2((d_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}) = (f_2(d_i))_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ respectively. It is easy to check that $\tilde{f}_1$ is a homeomorphism from X_{Λ_1} to $\tilde{f}_1(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})$, that $\tilde{f}_2$ is a homeomorphism from X_{Λ_2} to $\tilde{f}_2(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})$, that $\tilde{f}_1(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})$ and $\tilde{f}_2(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})$ are disjoint, and that $\tilde{f}_1(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}) \cup \tilde{f}_2(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})$ is a closed and shift invariant subset of $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ and thus a one-sided shift space. We will denote the latter by X.

Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Denote the empty word of $\mathfrak{a}_i^*$ by ϵ_i and the empty word of $\mathfrak{a}^*$ by ϵ. We extend f_i to a map from $\mathfrak{a}_i^*$ to $\mathfrak{a}^*$ by setting $f_i(u_1u_2\cdots u_n) = f_i(u_1)f_i(u_2)\cdots f_i(u_m)$ for $u_1u_2\cdots u_m \in \mathfrak{a}_i^* \setminus \{\epsilon_i\}$, and $f_i(\epsilon_i) = \epsilon$.

Let p_1 be the characteristic function of $\tilde{f}_1(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})$ and let p_2 be the characteristic function of $\tilde{f}_2(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})$. We then have that $p_1, p_2 \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ because $p_1 = \sum_{a\in\mathfrak{a}_1'} 1_{C(\epsilon,a)} = \sum_{a\in\mathfrak{a}_1'} s_as_a^*$ and $p_2 = \sum_{d\in\mathfrak{a}_2'} 1_{C(\epsilon,d)} = \sum_{d\in\mathfrak{a}_2'} s_ds_d^*$. For $u \in \mathfrak{a}_1^* \setminus \{\epsilon_1\}$, we let $t_u = s_{f(u)}$, and we let $t_{\epsilon_1} = p_1$. Likewise, for $u \in \mathfrak{a}_2^* \setminus \{\epsilon_2\}$, we let $t_u = s_{g(u)}$, and we let $t_{\epsilon_2} = p_2$.

Lemma 1.3.2. *We have $p_1 + p_2 = 1$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that $1 = \sum_{a\in\mathfrak{a}} s_as_a^*$. □

Lemma 1.3.3. *Let $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Then there exists a $*$-isomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}}$ to $p_i\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}p_i$ which for $u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*$ maps s_u to t_u.*

Proof. For $g \in l^\infty(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i})$ and $x \in \mathsf{X}$ let

$$\phi_i(g)(x) = \begin{cases} g\big(\tilde{f}_i^{-1}(x)\big) & \text{if } x \in \tilde{f}_i(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}), \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \tilde{f}_i(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}). \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that ϕ then is an injective $*$-homomorphism from $l^\infty(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i})$ to $l^\infty(\mathsf{X})$. It is also easy to check that if $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*$ are not both the empty word, then $\phi_i(1_{C(u,v)}) =$

$1_{C(f_i(u),f_i(v))}$, and that $\phi_i(1_{C(\epsilon,\epsilon)}) = 1_{\tilde{f}_i(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i})} = p_i$. Thus ϕ_i maps $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}}$ into $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$. We will now show that $((t_u)_{u\in\mathfrak{a}_1^*}, \phi_i)$ is a representation of X_{Λ_i} on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$.

It is clear that if $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}_i^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$, then $t_u t_v = t_{uv}$. If $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*$, and not both u and v are equal to ϵ_i, then $\tilde{f}(C(u,v)) = C(f(u), f(v)) \subseteq \tilde{f}(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})$. It follows that for $u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^* \setminus \{\epsilon_1\}$ we have

$$t_{\epsilon_i} t_u = p_i t_u t_u^* t_u = 1_{\tilde{f}(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i})} 1_{C(\epsilon_i,u)} t_u = 1_{C(\epsilon_i,u)} t_u = t_u \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$t_u t_{\epsilon_i} = t_u t_u^* t_u p_i = t_u 1_{C(u,\epsilon_i)} 1_{\tilde{f}(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})} = t_u 1_{C(u,\epsilon_i)} = t_u. \tag{1.4}$$

Thus $t_u t_v = t_{uv}$ for all $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*$.

If $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*$, and not both u and v are equal to ϵ_i, then we have

$$t_v t_u^* t_u t_v^* = s_{f(v)} s_{f(u)}^* s_{f(u)} s_{f(v)}^* = 1_{C(f(u),f(v))} = \phi_i(1_{C(u,v)}).$$

Since we also have that $t_{\epsilon_i} t_{\epsilon_i}^* t_{\epsilon_i} t_{\epsilon_i}^* = p_i = \phi_i(1_{C(\epsilon_i,\epsilon_i)})$, it follows that $((t_u)_{u\in\mathfrak{a}_1^*}, \phi_i)$ is a representation of X_{Λ_i}. Thus there exists a $*$-homomorphism ψ_i from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}}$ to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$.

The gauge action γ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$ satisfies that $\gamma_z(t_u) = \gamma_z(s_{f(u)}) = (z)^{|f(u)|} s_{f(u)} = z^{2|u|} t_u$ for $u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^* \setminus \{\epsilon_i\}$, and since it also satisfies $\gamma_z(t_{\epsilon_i}) = t_{\epsilon_i}$, we have that γ_z leaves $C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*)$ invariant, and that if $z_1^2 = z_2^2$ then γ_{z_1} and γ_{z_2} act equally on $C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*)$. Thus, if we for every $z \in \mathbb{T}$ let $\widetilde{\gamma}_z = \gamma_{z'|C^*(t_u|u\in\mathfrak{a}_i^*)}$ where $z' \in \mathbb{T}$ satisfies $z'^2 = z$, then $\widetilde{\gamma} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*))$ is action which satisfies $\widetilde{\gamma}_z(t_u) = z^{|u|} t_u$ for every $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Thus it follows from Theorem 1.1.21 that ψ is injective.

It follows from (1.3) and (1.4) that $C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*) \subseteq p_i \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}} p_i$, and thus that $\psi_i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}}) \subseteq p_i \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}} p_i$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}}$ be the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ generated by $\{1_{C(u,\epsilon)} \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_i$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}}$ generated by $\{1_{C(u,\epsilon)} \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*\}$. We then have that $\psi_i(\mathcal{A}_i) \subseteq p_i \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}} p_i$. We will now prove the inverse inclusion. Since every $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}}$ commutes with p_i (because $p_i \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is commutative) and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}}$ is generated by $\{s_v^* s_v \mid v \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$, it is enough to prove that $p_i s_v^* s_v p_i \in \psi_i(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for $v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$. Assume that $v \neq \epsilon$ and $p_i s_v^* s_v p_i \neq 0$. Since $s_a = s_b = s_{ab} = 0$ if $a, b \in \mathfrak{a}_1'$ or $a, b \in \mathfrak{a}_2'$, we have that $v \in f_i(\mathfrak{a}_i^*)$ if $|v|$ is even, and that $v_1 \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \mathfrak{a}_i$ and $v_2 v_3 \cdots v_{|v|} \in f_i(\mathfrak{a}_i^*)$ if $|v|$ is uneven. In the former case, we have $s_v = t_u$ for some $u \in \mathfrak{a}_1^*$ and thus that $p_i s_v^* s_v p_i \in \psi_i(\mathcal{A}_i)$. We may therefore assume that $|v|$ is uneven. If $a \in \mathfrak{a}_i$, then $s_{av} = t_u$ for some $u \in \mathfrak{a}_1^*$ and $p_i 1_{C(av,\epsilon)} p_i = p_i s_{av}^* s_{av} p_i \in \psi_i(\mathcal{A}_i)$. If $A, B \subseteq \mathsf{X}$ and $p_i 1_A p_i, p_i 1_B p_i \in \psi_i(\mathcal{A}_i)$, then $p_i 1_{A\cup B} p_i \in \psi_i(\mathcal{A}_i)$ because $p_i 1_{A\cup B} p_i = p_i 1_A p_i + p_i 1_B p_i - p_i 1_A p_i p_i 1_B p_i$. It follows that we have

$$p_i s_v^* s_v p_i = p_i 1_{C(v,\epsilon)} p_i = p_i 1_{\cup_{a\in\mathfrak{a}_i} C(av,\epsilon)} p_i \in \psi_i(\mathcal{A}_i).$$

Thus $p_i f p_i \in \psi_i(\mathcal{A}_i)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}}$.

It is not difficult to show that $\mathrm{span}\{s_v f s_v^* \mid v \in \mathfrak{a}^*,\ f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}}\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}}$, so it follows from Proposition 1.1.15 that $\mathrm{span}\{s_v f s_w^* \mid v, w \in \mathfrak{a}^*,\ f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}}\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$. So in order to show that $p_i \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}} p_i \subseteq \psi_i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}})$, it is therefore enough to prove that $p_i s_v f s_w^* p_i \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*)$ for $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}}$. If $v \neq \epsilon$ and $p_i s_v \neq 0$, then $v \in f_i(\mathfrak{a}_i^*)$ if $|v|$ is even, and $v_1 v_2 \cdots v_{|v|-1} \in f_i(\mathfrak{a}_i^*)$ and $v_{|v|} \in \mathfrak{a}_{3-i}'$ if $|v|$ is uneven. In the former case, we have $s_v \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*)$ and $p_i s_v = s_v = s_v p_i$. In the latter case, we have $s_v = s_v p_{3-i} = s_v \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}'_{3-i}} s_a s_a^* = s_v \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}'_{3-i}} s_a p_i s_a^*$ and $s_v s_a \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{a}'_{3-i}$. Thus $p_i s_v f s_w^* p_i = s_v p_i f p_i s_w^* \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*)$ if both $|v|$ and $|w|$ are even. If both $|v|$ and $|w|$ are uneven, then $p_i s_v f s_w^* p_i = \sum_{a,b \in \mathfrak{a}'_{3-i}} p_i s_v s_a p_i s_a^* f s_b p_i s_b^* s_w^* p_i$. We have $s_a^* f s_b = 0$ if $a \neq b$, and $s_a^* f s_b \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}}$ if $a = b$. Thus $p_i s_v f s_w^* p_i \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*)$ in this case. If $|v|$ is uneven and $|w|$ is even then

$$p_i s_v f s_w^* p_i = \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}'_{1-i}} p_i s_v s_a p_i s_a^* f p_i s_w^* = \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}'_{3-i}} p_i s_v s_a p_i s_a^* f s_a s_a^* p_i s_w^* = 0,$$

because $s_a s_a^* p_i$ for $a \in \mathfrak{a}'_{3-i}$. Likewise, $p_i s_v f s_w^* p_i = 0$ if $|v|$ is even and $|w|$ is uneven. Thus $p_i s_v f s_w^* p_i \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*)$ for all $v, w \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and all $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}}$, which proves that $p_i \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}} p_i \subseteq C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}_i^*)$. ☐

Lemma 1.3.4. *The projections p_1 and p_2 are full in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$.*

Proof. We have $1 = p_1 + p_2 = p_1 + \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}'_2} s_a s_a^* = p_1 + \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}'_2} s_a p_1 s_a^*$, which shows that the ideal generated by p_1 contains 1, and thus that p_1 is a full projection in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$. It follows from a similar argument that p_2 is a full projection in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}$. ☐

Theorem 1.3.5. *Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be two two-sided shift spaces for which there exists a bipartite code between Λ_1 and Λ_2. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}}$ are Morita equivalent.*

Proof. Follows from Theorem 1.3.1, Lemma 1.3.2, Lemma 1.3.3 and Lemma 1.3.4. ☐

1.4. Flow equivalence

We will in this section prove that if two two-sided shift spaces are *flow equivalent* (we refer to [5, 17, 40] and [23, Section 13.6] for the definition of flow equivalence), then the corresponding C^*-algebras are stable isomorphic (and thus Morita equivalent by [6, Theorem 1.2.]). This was first prove by Matsumoto in [34] under the assumption that both the two two-sided shift spaces satisfy condition (I). We will closely follow Matsumoto's original proof, but change it so it also work without the assumption of condition (I).

Like Matsumoto, we will use the concept of *symbolic expansion*. If $\mathfrak{a}$ is an alphabet, then we let $\mathfrak{a}'$ denote the disjoint union of $\mathfrak{a}$ and an extra symbol $*$ which does not belong to $\mathfrak{a}$. Choose a distinct element a_0 of $\mathfrak{a}$. For every $x \in \mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we let $\eta(x)$ be the element of $\mathfrak{a}'^{\mathbb{Z}}$ obtained by replacing every occurrence of a_0 in x by a_0* and letting all the other terms in x be as they are. It is easy to see that if Λ is a two-sided shift space over $\mathfrak{a}$, then $\{\eta(x) \mid x \in \Lambda\} \cup \{\tau(\eta(x)) \mid x \in \Lambda\}$ is a two-sided shift space over the alphabet $\mathfrak{a}'$. We call this shift space for a *symbolic expansion* of Λ and denote it by $\tilde{\Lambda}$.

Parry and Sullivan proved in [40] that flow equivalence among two-sided shift spaces of finite type is generated by conjugacy and symbolic expansion. As noticed in [34], Parry and Sullivan's proof also hold for two-sided shift spaces in general. Thus we have:

Theorem 1.4.1. *Flow equivalence among two-sided shift spaces is generated by conjugacy and symbolic expansion.*

Thus, in order to prove that if two two-sided shift spaces are flow equivalent, then the corresponding C^*-algebras are stable isomorphic, it is enough to prove that if Λ is a two-sided shift space, and $\tilde{\Lambda}$ is a symbolic expansion of Λ, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_\Lambda}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ are stable isomorphic.

Let Λ, $\tilde{\Lambda}$, $\mathfrak{a}$, $\mathfrak{a}'$, a_0, $*$ and η be as above. For every $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, we let $\eta(u)$ be the element of $\mathfrak{a}'^*$ obtained by replacing every occurrence of a_0 in u by a_0* and letting all the other terms in u be as they are. We extend η to a map from $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ to $\mathfrak{a}'^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ in the obvious way. Let $\{\tilde{s}_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$ be the canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$. For $u \in \mathfrak{a}^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$, we let $t_u = \tilde{s}_{\eta(u)}$, and we let $t_\epsilon = 1_{\eta(\mathsf{X}_\Lambda)} = \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}} 1_{C(\epsilon,a)} = \sum_{a\in\mathfrak{a}} \tilde{s}_a \tilde{s}_a^* = 1 - \tilde{s}_* \tilde{s}_*^*$.

Lemma 1.4.2. *Let $\{s_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*\}$ be the family of canonical generators of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_\Lambda}$. Then there exists a $*$-isomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_\Lambda}$ to $t_\epsilon \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}} t_\epsilon$ which for $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ maps s_u to t_u.*

Proof. For $f \in l^\infty(\mathsf{X}_\Lambda)$ and $x \in \mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$ let

$$\phi(f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(y) & \text{if } x = \eta(y) \text{ for some } y \in \mathsf{X}_\Lambda, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin \eta(\mathsf{X}_\Lambda). \end{cases}$$

Then ϕ is a $*$-homomorphism from $l^\infty(\mathsf{X}_\Lambda)$ to $l^\infty(\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}})$. If $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and u and v are not both equal to ϵ, then we have

$$\phi(1_{C(u,v)}) = 1_{\eta(C(u,v))} = 1_{C(\eta(u),\eta(v))} \in \mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}$$

Since we also have that $\phi(1_{C(\epsilon,\epsilon)}) = \phi(1_{\mathsf{X}_\Lambda}) = 1_{\eta(\mathsf{X}_\Lambda)} = t_\epsilon \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$, it follows that ϕ maps $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_\Lambda}$ into $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$. We will now show that $((t_u)_{u\in\mathfrak{a}^*}, \phi)$ is a representation of X_Λ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$.

If $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$, then we have $t_u t_v = \tilde{s}_{\eta(u)} \tilde{s}_{\eta(v)} = \tilde{s}_{\eta(uv)} = t_{uv}$. If $u \in \mathfrak{a}^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$ then we have

$$t_\epsilon t_u = 1_{\eta(\Lambda)} t_u t_u^* t_u = 1_{\eta(\Lambda)} 1_{C(\epsilon,\eta(u))} t_u = 1_{C(\epsilon,\eta(u))} t_u = t_u \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$t_u t_\epsilon = t_u t_u^* t_u 1_{\eta(\Lambda)} = t_u 1_{C(\eta(u),\epsilon)} 1_{\eta(\Lambda)} = t_u 1_{C(\eta(u),\epsilon)} = t_u. \tag{1.6}$$

Thus $t_u t_v = t_{uv}$ for all $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$.

If $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, and not both u and v are equal to ϵ, then we have

$$t_v t_u^* t_u t_v^* = \tilde{s}_{\eta(v)} \tilde{s}_{\eta(u)}^* \tilde{s}_{\eta(u)} \tilde{s}_{\eta(v)}^* = 1_{C(\eta(u),\eta(v))} = 1_{\eta(C(u,v))} = \phi(1_{C(u,v)}).$$

Since we also have that $t_\epsilon t_\epsilon^* t_\epsilon t_\epsilon^* = t_\epsilon = 1_{\eta(\mathsf{X}_\Lambda)} = \phi(1_{C(\epsilon,\epsilon)})$, we have that $((t_u)_{u\in\mathfrak{a}^*}, \phi)$ is a representation of X_Λ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$. Thus there exists a $*$-homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_\Lambda} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ such that $\psi(s_u) = t_u$ for every $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, and such that the restriction of ψ to $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_\Lambda}$ is ϕ which is injective.

It follows from the universal property of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ that there exists an action $\widetilde{\gamma} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}})$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma}_z(\tilde{s}_a) = z\tilde{s}_a$ for $z \in \mathbb{T}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{a}$, and $\widetilde{\gamma}(\tilde{s}_*) = \tilde{s}_*$. We then have

$\widetilde{\gamma}_z(t_u) = \widetilde{\gamma}_z(\tilde{s}_{\eta(u)}) = z^{|u|}\tilde{s}_{\eta(u)} = z^{|u|}t_u$ for $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Thus it follows from Theorem 1.1.21 that ψ is injective.

It follows from equation (1.5) and (1.6) that $t_\epsilon t_u t_\epsilon = t_u$ for every $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, so $\psi(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_\Lambda}) = C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*) \subseteq t_\epsilon \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}} t_\epsilon$. We will now prove that $t_\epsilon \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}} t_\epsilon \subseteq C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ be the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ generated by $\{1_{C(u,\epsilon)} \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}'^*\}$. It is not difficult to show that $\operatorname{span}\{\tilde{s}_v f \tilde{s}_v^* \mid v \in \mathfrak{a}^{*\prime},\ f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$, so it follows from Proposition 1.1.15 that $\operatorname{span}\{\tilde{s}_v f \tilde{s}_w^* \mid v, w \in \mathfrak{a}'^*,\ f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$. It is therefore enough to prove that $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v f \tilde{s}_w^* t_\epsilon \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$ for $v, w \in \mathfrak{a}'^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$. We will first prove this in the case where $v = w = \epsilon$. Since every $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ commutes with t_ϵ (because $t_\epsilon \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ is commutative) and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ is generated by $\{\tilde{s}_v^* \tilde{s}_v \mid v \in \mathfrak{a}'^*\}$, it is enough to prove that $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v^* \tilde{s}_v t_\epsilon \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$ for $v \in \mathfrak{a}'^*$.

Assume that $v \neq \epsilon$ and $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v^* \tilde{s}_v t_\epsilon \neq 0$. Since $\tilde{s}_{a_0} \tilde{s}_a = 0$ for $a \in \mathfrak{a}$, we then have that $v_{|v|} \neq a_0$. So we either have that $v \in \eta(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ or $v_1 = *$. In the former case, $\tilde{s}_v \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$ and thus $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v^* \tilde{s}_v t_\epsilon \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$. In the latter case, we have $C(v,\epsilon) = C(a_0 v, \epsilon)$ and $a_0 v \in \eta(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ from which it follows that $s_v^* s_v = 1_{C(v,\epsilon)} = 1_{C(a_0 v,\epsilon)} = \tilde{s}_{a_0 v}^* \tilde{s}_{a_0 v} \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$ and thus $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v^* \tilde{s}_v t_\epsilon \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$.

Let us now assume that $v \in \mathfrak{a}'^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$ and $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v \neq 0$. Since $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_* = 0$, we then have $v_1 \in \mathfrak{a}$. Thus, we either have that $v \in \eta(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ or $v_{|v|} = a_0$. In the former case we have $\tilde{s}_v \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$ and $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v = \tilde{s}_v = \tilde{s}_v t_\epsilon$. In the latter case $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v = \tilde{s}_v = \tilde{s}_v \tilde{s}_* \tilde{s}_*^* = \tilde{s}_v \tilde{s}_* t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_*^*$ and $\tilde{s}_v \tilde{s}_* = \tilde{s}_{v*} \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$.

Thus if $v, w \in \mathfrak{a}'^*$, $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ and $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v f \tilde{s}_w^* t_\epsilon \neq 0$, then one of the following cases holds:

1. $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v f \tilde{s}_w^* t_\epsilon = \tilde{s}_v t_\epsilon f t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_w^*$ and $\tilde{s}_v, \tilde{s}_w \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$.
2. $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v f \tilde{s}_w^* t_\epsilon = \tilde{s}_v \tilde{s}_* t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_*^* f \tilde{s}_* t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_*^* \tilde{s}_w^*$ and $\tilde{s}_v \tilde{s}_*, \tilde{s}_w \tilde{s}_* \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$.
3. $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v f \tilde{s}_w^* t_\epsilon = \tilde{s}_v \tilde{s}_* \tilde{s}_*^* f t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_w^*$.
4. $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v f \tilde{s}_w^* t_\epsilon = \tilde{s}_v t_\epsilon f \tilde{s}_* \tilde{s}_*^* \tilde{s}_w^*$.

In the first case $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v f \tilde{s}_w^* t_\epsilon \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$ because $t_\epsilon f t_\epsilon \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$ as shown above. In the second case, $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v f \tilde{s}_w^* t_\epsilon \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$ because $\tilde{s}_*^* f \tilde{s}_* \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ and thus $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_*^* f \tilde{s}_* t_\epsilon \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$ as shown above. The third and forth cases can actually not happen, because since $\tilde{s}_* \tilde{s}_*^*$ commutes with every element of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ (because $\tilde{s}_* \tilde{s}_*^* \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$ is commutative) and $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_* = 0$, we have that $\tilde{s}_* \tilde{s}_*^* f t_\epsilon = t_\epsilon f \tilde{s}_* \tilde{s}_*^* = 0$.

Hence $t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_v f \tilde{s}_w^* t_\epsilon \in C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$ for $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}'^*$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$, and we thus have $t_\epsilon \mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}} t_\epsilon \subseteq C^*(t_u \mid u \in \mathfrak{a}^*)$. ☐

Lemma 1.4.3. *The projection t_ϵ is full in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\tilde{\Lambda}}}$.*

Proof. We have that $1 = \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}'} \tilde{s}_a \tilde{s}_a^* = t_\epsilon + \tilde{s}_* \tilde{s}_*^* = t_\epsilon + \tilde{s}_* t_\epsilon \tilde{s}_*^*$ from which the conclusion follows. ☐

Theorem 1.4.4. *Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be two two-sided shift spaces which are flow equivalent. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}}$ are Morita equivalent.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1.4.1 and Lemma 1.4.2 and 1.4.3. ☐

1.5. The K-theory of C^*-algebras associated to shift spaces

Since $K_0(\mathcal{X})$ and $K_1(\mathcal{X})$ are invariants of a C^*-algebra X, it follows from the previous section that $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}})$, $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}})$ and $K_0(\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}})$ are invariants of X. In this section, we will present formulas based on l-past equivalence for these invariants. This was done in [26, 27, 34] by Matsumoto for the case of one-sided shift spaces of the form X_Λ, where Λ is a two-sided shift space and generalized to the general case in [9] (see also [15]). I will not here prove the formulas for $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}})$, $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}})$ and $K_0(\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}})$, because that would require a knowledge about K-theory for C^*-algebras that I do not expect the reader to have, but only establish the necessary setup and state the theorems which give the formulas. The interested reader can find proofs of these theorems in the above mentioned references.

From these formulas, one can directly prove that $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}})$, $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}})$ and $K_0(\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}})$ are invariants of X without involving C^*-algebras. This is done (for one-sided shift spaces of the form X_Λ, where Λ is a two-sided shift space) in Matsumoto's very interesting paper [28], where also other invariants of shift spaces are presented.

Let X be a one-sided shift space. We will for each $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ define an equivalence relation on X called *l-past equivalence*. These equivalence relations were introduced by Matsumoto in [27]. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $x \in \mathsf{X}$ let $\mathcal{P}_k(x) = \{u \in \mathfrak{a}^k \mid ux \in \mathsf{X}\}$. If $x, y \in \mathsf{X}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then we say that *x and y are l-past equivalent* and write $x \sim_l y$ if $\bigcup_{k=0}^{l} \mathcal{P}_k(x) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{l} \mathcal{P}_k(y)$. Notice that since $\mathfrak{a}^k$ is finite for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have for each $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ only finitely many l-past equivalence classes. We let $m(l)$ be this number of l-past equivalence classes, and we denote the l-past equivalence classes by $E_1^l, E_2^l, \ldots, E_{m(l)}^l$. For each $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m(l)\}$ and $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m(l+1)\}$, let

$$I_l(i,j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E_i^{l+1} \subseteq E_j^l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let F be a finite set and $i_0 \in F$. Then we denote by e_{i_0} the element in $\mathbb{Z}^F$ for which

$$e_{i_0}(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = i_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $0 \leq k \leq l$. Then we have that $x \sim_l y \implies \mathcal{P}_k(x) = \mathcal{P}_k(y)$. We can therefore for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m(l)\}$ define $\mathcal{P}_k(E_i^l)$ to be $\mathcal{P}_k(x)$ for some $x \in E_i^l$. Let M_k^l be defined by

$$M_k^l = \left\{ i \in \{1, 2 \ldots, m(l)\} \mid \mathcal{P}_k(E_i^l) \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Notice that if X is of the form X_Λ for some two-sided shift space Λ (this is equivalent to $\sigma(\mathsf{X}) = \mathsf{X}$), then $M_k^l = \{1, 2, \ldots, m(l)\}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq l$.

If $j \in M_k^l$ and $I_l(i,j) = 1$, then $i \in M_k^{l+1}$, so there exists a positive linear map from $\mathbb{Z}^{M_k^l}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{M_k^{l+1}}$ given by

$$e_j \mapsto \sum_{i \in M_k^{l+1}} I_l(i,j) e_i.$$

We denotes this map by I_k^l.

For a subset E of X and a $u \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, let $uE = \{ux \in \mathsf{X} \mid x \in E\}$. For each $l \in \mathbb{N}_0,\ j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m(l)\},\ i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m(l+1)\}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{a}$, let

$$A_l(i, j, a) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \emptyset \neq aE_i^{l+1} \subseteq E_j^l \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $0 \leq k \leq l$. If $j \in M_k^l$ and if there exists an $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ such that $A_l(i, j, a) = 1$, then $i \in M_{k+1}^{l+1}$. Thus there exists a positive linear map from $\mathbb{Z}^{M_k^l}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{M_{k+1}^{l+1}}$ given by

$$e_j \mapsto \sum_{i \in M_{k+1}^{l+1}} \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}} A_l(i, j, a) e_i.$$

We denote this map by A_k^l.

Lemma 1.5.1. *Let $0 \leq k \leq l$. Then the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}^{M_k^l} \arrow[r, "I_k^l"] \arrow[d, "A_k^l"'] & \mathbb{Z}^{M_k^{l+1}} \arrow[d, "A_k^{l+1}"] \\
\mathbb{Z}^{M_{k+1}^{l+1}} \arrow[r, "I_{k+1}^{l+1}"] & \mathbb{Z}^{M_{k+1}^{l+2}}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Proof. Let $j \in M_k^l$, $h \in M_{k+1}^{l+2}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{a}$. If $\emptyset \neq aE_h^{l+2} \subseteq E_j^l$, then there exists exactly one $i \in M_k^{l+1}$ such that $E_i^{l+1} \subseteq E_j^l$ and $\emptyset \neq aE_h^{l+2} \subseteq E_i^{l+1}$; and there exists exactly one $i' \in M_{k+1}^{l+1}$ such that $E_h^{l+2} \subseteq E_{i'}^{l+1}$ and $\emptyset \neq aE_{i'}^{l+1} \subseteq E_j^l$. If $aE_h^{l+2} = \emptyset$ or $aE_h^{l+2} \not\subseteq E_j^l$, then there does not exists an $i \in M_k^{l+1}$ such that $E_i^{l+1} \subseteq E_j^l$ and $\emptyset \neq aE_h^{l+2} \subseteq E_i^{l+1}$; and there does not exists an $i' \in M_{k+1}^{l+1}$ such that $E_h^{l+2} \subseteq E_{i'}^{l+1}$ and $\emptyset \neq aE_{i'}^{l+1} \subseteq E_j^l$. Hence we have

$$\sum_{i \in M_k^{l+1}} A_{l+1}(h, i, a) I_l(i, j) = \sum_{i \in M_{k+1}^{l+1}} I_{l+1}(h, i) A_l(i, j, a).$$

It follows from this that

$$\begin{aligned}
A_k^{l+1}(I_k^l(e_j)) &= A_k^{l+1}\left(\sum_{i \in M_k^{l+1}} I_l(i, j) e_i \right) \\
&= \sum_{h \in M_{k+1}^{l+2}} \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}} A_{l+1}(h, i, a) \sum_{i \in M_k^{l+1}} I_l(i, j) e_h \\
&= \sum_{h \in M_{k+1}^{l+2}} \sum_{i \in M_{k+1}^{l+1}} \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}} I_{l+1}(h, i) A_l(i, j, a) e_h \\
&= I_{k+1}^{l+1}\left(\sum_{i \in M_{k+1}^{l+1}} \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}} A_l(i, j, a) e_i \right) \\
&= I_{k+1}^{l+1}(A_k^l(e_j))
\end{aligned}$$

for every $j \in M_k^l$. Thus the diagram commutes. $\square$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the inductive limit $\varinjlim(\mathbb{Z}^{M_k^l}, (\mathbb{Z}^+)^{M_k^l}, I_k^l)$ will be denoted by $(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_k}, \mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_k}^+)$. It follows from Lemma 1.5.1 that the family $\{A_k^l\}_{l \geq k}$ induces a positive, linear map A_k from $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_k}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_{k+1}}$.

Let $0 \leq k < l$. Denote by δ_k^l the linear map from $\mathbb{Z}^{M_k^l}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{M_{k+1}^l}$ given by

$$e_j \mapsto \begin{cases} e_j & \text{if } j \in M_{k+1}^l, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \notin M_{k+1}^l, \end{cases}$$

for $j \in M_k^l$. It is easy to check that the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}^{M_k^l} \arrow[r, "\delta_k^l"] \arrow[d, "I_k^l"'] & \mathbb{Z}^{M_{k+1}^l} \arrow[d, "I_{k+1}^l"] \\
\mathbb{Z}^{M_k^{l+1}} \arrow[r, "\delta_k^{l+1}"] & \mathbb{Z}^{M_{k+1}^{l+1}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

Thus the family $\{\delta_k^l\}_{l \geq k}$ induces a positive, linear map from $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_k}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_{k+1}}$ which we denote by δ_k. Since the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}^{M_k^l} \arrow[r, "\delta_k^l"] \arrow[d, "A_k^l"'] & \mathbb{Z}^{M_{k+1}^l} \arrow[d, "A_{k+1}^l"] \\
\mathbb{Z}^{M_{k+1}^{l+1}} \arrow[r, "\delta_{k+1}^{l+1}"] & \mathbb{Z}^{M_{k+2}^{l+1}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes for every $0 \leq k < l$, the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_k} \arrow[r, "\delta_k"] \arrow[d, "A_k"'] & \mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_{k+1}} \arrow[d, "A_{k+1}"] \\
\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_{k+1}} \arrow[r, "\delta_{k+1}"] & \mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_{k+2}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

We denote the inductive limit $\varinjlim(\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_k}, \mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_k}^+, A_k)$ by $(\Delta_{\mathsf{X}}, \Delta_{\mathsf{X}}^+)$. Since the previous diagram commutes, the family $\{\delta_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ induces a positive, linear map from Δ_{X} to Δ_{X} which we denote by δ_{X}.

Theorem 1.5.2. *Let* X *be a one-sided shift space. Then there exists an isomorphism* $\phi : K_0(\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}}) \to \Delta_{\mathsf{X}}$ *which satisfies that* $\phi(K_0^+(\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}})) = \Delta_{\mathsf{X}}^+$ *and that* $\phi \circ (\lambda_{\mathsf{X}})_0 = \delta_{\mathsf{X}} \circ \phi$.

For every $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ denote by B^l the linear map from $\mathbb{Z}^{m(l)}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{m(l+1)}$ given by

$$e_j \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{m(l+1)} \left(I_l(i,j) - \sum_{a \in \mathfrak{a}} A_l(i,j,a) \right) e_i.$$

One can easily check that the following diagram commutes for every $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}^{m(l)} \arrow[r, "B^l"] \arrow[d, "I_0^l"'] & \mathbb{Z}^{m(l+1)} \arrow[d, "I_0^{l+1}"] \\
\mathbb{Z}^{m(l+1)} \arrow[r, "B^{l+1}"] & \mathbb{Z}^{m(l+2)}.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Hence the family $\{B^l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ induces a linear map B from $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_0}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_0}$.

Theorem 1.5.3. *Let* X *be a one-sided shift space. Then*

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_0}/B\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_0},$$

and

$$K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathsf{X}}) \cong \ker(B).$$

It follows from Theorem 1.2.3 and the fact that isomorphic C^*-algebras have isomorphic K-theory (cf. Section A.3) that if X_1 and X_2 are conjugated one-sided shift spaces, then $(K_0(\mathsf{X}_1), K_0^+(\mathsf{X}_1)) \cong (K_0(\mathsf{X}_2), K_0^+(\mathsf{X}_2))$, $K_1(\mathsf{X}_1) \cong K_1(\mathsf{X}_2)$ and $(\Delta_{\mathsf{X}_1}, \Delta^+_{\mathsf{X}_1}, \delta_{\mathsf{X}_1}) \cong (\Delta_{\mathsf{X}_2}, \Delta^+_{\mathsf{X}_2}, \delta_{\mathsf{X}_2})$, and it follows from Theorem 1.3.5 and Theorem 1.4.4 and the fact that Morita equivalent C^*-algebras have isomorphic K-theory (cf. Section A.3) that if Λ_1 and Λ_2 are two-sided shift spaces which are conjugate or just flow equivalent, then $(K_0(\mathsf{X}_1), K_0^+(\mathsf{X}_1)) \cong (K_0(\mathsf{X}_2), K_0^+(\mathsf{X}_2))$.

We will now prove that if Λ_1 and Λ_2 are conjugate two-sided shift spaces, then we also have that $(\Delta_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}, \Delta^+_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}, \delta_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}) \cong (\Delta_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}}, \Delta^+_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}}, \delta_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}})$. It follows from Theorem 1.3.1 that is it enough to prove this for the case where there exists a bipartite code between Λ_1 and Λ_2. So we will assume that this is the case and use the same notation as in Section 1.3.

We let, as in Section 1.3, X be the one-sided shift space $\tilde{f}_1(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}) \cup \tilde{f}_2(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})$. Since $\sigma(\mathsf{X}) = \mathsf{X}$, we have that $M_k^l = \{1, 2, \ldots, m(l)\}$ for $0 \leq k \leq l$. It is not difficult to see that if $l \geq 1$, then each l-past equivalence class of X is either a subset of $\tilde{f}_1(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})$ or a subset of $\tilde{f}_2(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})$. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$ we let $\mathbb{Z}_i^{m(l)} = \mathbb{Z}^{J_i^l}$ where $J_i^l = \{j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, m(l)\} \mid E_j^l \subseteq \tilde{f}_i(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i})\}$. We then have that $\mathbb{Z}^{m(l)} = \mathbb{Z}_1^{m(l)} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{m(l)}$. It is not difficult to check that we for all $0 \leq k \leq l$ with $l \geq 1$ have that $I_k^l(\mathbb{Z}_i^{m(l)}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_i^{m(l+1)}$ and $A_k^l(\mathbb{Z}_i^{m(l)}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{3-i}^{m(l+1)}$. It is also clear that there for every $l \geq 1$ exists an isomorphism κ_i^l from $\mathbb{Z}^{m_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}}(l)}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_i^{m(2l)}$ such that the following two diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}^{m_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}}(l)} \arrow[r, "\kappa_i^l"] \arrow[d, "I_k^l"'] & \mathbb{Z}_i^{m(2l)} \arrow[d, "I_k^{2l+1} \circ I_k^{2l}"] \\
\mathbb{Z}^{m_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}}(l+1)} \arrow[r, "\kappa_i^{l+1}"] & \mathbb{Z}_i^{m(2l+2)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}^{m_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}}(l)} \arrow[r, "\kappa_i^l"] \arrow[d, "A_k^l"'] & \mathbb{Z}_i^{m(2l)} \arrow[d, "A_{k+1}^{2l+1}\circ A_k^{2l}"] \\
\mathbb{Z}^{m_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}}(l+1)} \arrow[r, "\kappa_i^l"] & \mathbb{Z}_i^{m(2l+2)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where, for each l, $m_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_i}}(l)$ denotes the number of l-past equivalence classes in X_{Λ_i} and the maps in the left column are the ones used to compute the K-groups associated to X_{Λ_i}, and the maps in the right column are the ones used to compute the K-groups associated to X. Since $\sigma(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}) = \mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}$, $\sigma(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}) = \mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}$, and $\sigma(\mathsf{X}) = \mathsf{X}$, we have for every $k \geq 0$ that $\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})_k} = \mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})_0}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})_k} = \mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})_0}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_k} = \mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_0}$. It follows from the two commuting diagrams above that there exist injective homomorphisms $\kappa_1 : \mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})_0} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_0}$ and $\kappa_2 : \mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})_0} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_0}$ such that $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathsf{X}_0} = \kappa_1(\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})_0}) \oplus \kappa_2(\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})_0})$, $A_0(\kappa_1(\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})_0})) = \kappa_2(\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})_0})$, and $A_0(\kappa_2(\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})_0})) = \kappa_1(\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})_0})$ and such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})_0} \arrow[rr, "A_0"] \arrow[d, "\kappa_1"'] & & \mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})_0} \arrow[d, "\kappa_1"] & \\
\kappa_1(\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})_0}) \arrow[r, "A_0"] & \kappa_2(\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})_0}) \arrow[r, "A_0"] & \kappa_1(\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1})_0}) \arrow[r, "A_0"] & \kappa_2(\mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})_0}) \\
& \mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})_0} \arrow[u, "\kappa_2"] \arrow[rr, "A_0"] & & \mathbb{Z}_{(\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2})_0} \arrow[u, "\kappa_2"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

It follows that $(\Delta_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}, \Delta^+_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}, \delta_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}) \cong (\Delta_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}}, \Delta^+_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}}, \delta_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}})$. Thus we have:

Theorem 1.5.4. *Let Λ_1 and Λ_2 be two-sided shift spaces. If Λ_1 and Λ_2 are conjugate, then we have that $(\Delta_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}, \Delta^+_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}, \delta_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}) \cong (\Delta_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}}, \Delta^+_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}}, \delta_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_2}})$.*

It actually follows from Theorem 1.5.4 that if Λ_1 and Λ_2 are conjugate, then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{X}_{\Lambda_1}}$ are Morita equivalent.

A. Appendix

I will in this section give a (very short) introduction to C^*-algebras, Morita equivalence of C^*-algebras and K-theory for C^*-algebras which hopefully will be enough for the reader to understand these notes.

I will not not give any proofs at all. The interested reader is referred to for example [1, 3, 4, 37, 41, 42, 43] for more details.

A.1. C^*-algebras

Definition A.1.1. A C^**-algebra* is an algebra $\mathcal{X}$ over the complex numbers equipt with a map $x \mapsto x^*$ and a norm $\|\cdot\|$ satisfying:

(1) $\mathcal{X}$ is complete with respect to $\|\cdot\|$,

(2) $\|xy\| \leq \|x\|\|y\|$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$,

(3) $(x^*)^* = x$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$,

(4) $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$,

(5) $(\lambda x)^* = \overline{\lambda} x^*$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$,

(6) $(x + y)^* = x^* + y^*$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$,

(7) $\|x^*\| = \|x\|$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$,

(8) $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

The map $x \mapsto x^*$ is called an *involution.* A C^*-algebra is called *unital* if it has a algebraic unit (i.e, $\mathcal{X}$ is unital if there exists a $1 \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $1x = x1 = x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$). All the C^*-algebras we will meet in these notes (except here in the appendix) are unital.

An algebra equipt with a norm satisfying condition (1) and (2) is called a *Banach algebra.* A Banach algebra equipt with an involution satisfying condition (3)-(7) is called a *Banach $*$-algebra* (or just a B^**-algebra*). Condition (8) is often called *the* C^**-identity.* Although this condition at first glance seems to be a mild condition it is in fact very strong because it ties together the algebraic structure of the C^*-algebra and its topology. One can for example show that if $\mathcal{X}$ is an algebra equipt with an involution satisfying condition (3)-(6), then there is at most one norm which makes $\mathcal{X}$ a C^*-algebra.

A map $\phi : \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{X}_2$ between C^*-algebras is called a $*$*-homomorphism* if it satisfies

(1) $\phi(ax+by) = a\phi(x) + b\phi(y)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}_1$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$,

(2) $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$,

(3) $\phi(x^*) = (\phi(x))^*$ for $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

A $*$-homomorphism which is invertible is called a *$*$-isomorphism*, and if there exists a $*$-isomorphism between two C^*-algebras, then their are said to be *isomorphic*.

If $\phi : \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{X}_2$ is a $*$-homomorphism, then $\|\phi(x)\| \leq \|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}_1$, and ϕ is injective if and only if $\|\phi(x)\| = \|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}_1$ (see for example [37, Theorem 2.1.7] for a proof of this). Thus a $*$-homomorphism is automatically continuous, and a $*$-isomorphism is automatically isometric. This is another example of how the algebraic structure of a C^*-algebra and its topology are closely related.

Example A.1.2. Let H be a Hilbert space. Then the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathsf{H})$ of bounded operators is a C^*-algebra where T^* of an bounded operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{H})$ is the adjoint of T, and the norm $\|T\|$ is the operator norm $\sup\{\|T\eta\| \mid \eta \in \mathsf{H},\ \|\eta\| \leq 1\}$.

Definition A.1.3. A *projection* in a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$ is a $p \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying $p^2 = p^* = p$. A *partial isometry* is a $s \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying $ss^*s = s$.

It is easy to see that if s is a partial isometry, then ss^* (and s^*s) is a projection. One can prove (see for example [37, Theorem 2.3.3]) that if s is an element of a C^*-algebra such that ss^* is a projection, then s is a partial isometry.

Definition A.1.4. A *C^*-subalgebra* of a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$ is a closed subalgebra $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $x \in \mathcal{Y} \implies x^* \in \mathcal{Y}$.

A C^*-subalgebra $\mathcal{Y}$ is a C^*-algebra in itself with the operations it inherits from $\mathcal{X}$. It is a famous theorem by Gelfand and Naimark that every C^*-algebra is isomorphic to some C^*-subalgebra of the C^*-algebra of bounded operators on some Hilbert space.

Example A.1.5. Let X be a set. The algebra of bounded functions from X to $\mathbb{C}$ is a C^*-algebra where the involution f^* of a $f \in l^\infty(X)$ is defined by $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x)}$ for all $x \in X$, and the norm $\|f\|$ of f is $\sup\{|f(x)| \mid x \in X\}$. Notice that $l^\infty(X)$ is abelian.

If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then the algebra $C_0(X)$ of continuous functions on X vanishing at infinity is a C^*-subalgebra of $l^\infty(X)$.

It is a famous theorem by Gelfand that every abelian C-algebra is isomorphic to $C_0(X)$ for some locally compact Hausdorff space X.

We are going to need (in the proof of Proposition 1.1.4) the following fact which follows from [37, Theorem 2.1.11]:

Fact A.1.6. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a unital C^*-algebra. If $\mathcal{Y}$ is a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}$ which contains the unit of $\mathcal{X}$, and $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{X}$, then its inverse y^{-1} belongs to $\mathcal{Y}$.*

When $\mathcal{X}$ is C^*-algebra and X is some subset of $\mathcal{X}$, then there exists a C^*-subalgebra $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ which contains X and which is contained in any other C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}$ that contains X. The C^*-subalgebra $\mathcal{Y}$ is just the intersection of every C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}$ that contains X. We call $\mathcal{Y}$ *the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}$ generated by X* and denote it by $C^*(X)$.

A.2. Morita equivalence

By an *ideal* of a C^*-algebra we mean a closed two-sided ideal. I.e., an ideal of a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$ is a closed subset I of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\lambda a + \gamma b, xa, ax \in I$ for $a, b \in I$, $\lambda, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$. An ideal I of a C^*-algebra is automatically closed under involution, i.e., if $x \in I$, then $x^* \in I$. Thus every ideal of a C^*-algebra is also a C^*-subalgebra.

A nonzero ideal of a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be *essential* if it has nonzero intersection with every other nonzero ideal of $\mathcal{X}$.

There exists for every C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$ a up to isomorphism unique maximal unital C^*-algebra $M(\mathcal{X})$ which contains $\mathcal{X}$ as an essential ideal. The C^*-algebra $M(\mathcal{X})$ is known as *the multiplier algebra of* $\mathcal{X}$, cf. [37, Theorem 3.1.8] and [41, Theorem 2.47]. If $\mathcal{X}$ itself is unital, then $M(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{X}$.

It is easy to check that if p is a projection in the multiplier algebra $M(\mathcal{X})$ of a the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$, then $p\mathcal{X}p := \{pxp \mid x \in \mathcal{X}\}$ is a C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{X}$. Such a C^*-subalgebra is called a *corner*. The projection p is said to be *full* and the corner $p\mathcal{X}p$ is said to be a *full corner* if there is no proper ideal of $\mathcal{X}$ which contains p.

Two projections $p, q \in M(\mathcal{X})$ are said to be *complementary* if $p + q = 1$. If p and q are complementary, then $pq = 0$ and thus $p\mathcal{X}p \cap q\mathcal{X}q = \{0\}$. In this situation, the two corners $p\mathcal{X}p$ and $q\mathcal{X}$ are also called complementary.

Morita equivalence is an equivalence relations between C^*-algebras. I will not give the definition of Morita equivalence here, but instead use the following characterization of Morita equivalence.

Theorem A.2.1 (Cf. [41, Theorem 3.19])**.** *Two C^*-algebras $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$ are Morita equivalent if and only if there is a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$ with complementary full corners isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$, respectively.*

It follows directly that Morita equivalence is weaker than isomorphism. It is not difficult to show that if $p\mathcal{X}p$ is a full corner of a C^*-algebra, then $p\mathcal{X}p$ and $\mathcal{X}$ are Morita equivalent.

A.3. K-theory for C^*-algebras

K-theory for C^*-algebras is a pair of covariant functors K_0 and K_1 both defined on the category of C^*-algebras. The functor K_0 associate to each C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$ a pair $(K_0^+(\mathcal{X}), K_0(\mathcal{X}))$ consisting of an abelian group $K_0(\mathcal{X})$ and a sub-semigroup $K_0^+(\mathcal{X})$ of $K_0(\mathcal{X})$ (i.e., $K_0^+(\mathcal{X}) \subseteq K_0(\mathcal{X})$ and $g, h \in K_0^+(\mathcal{X}) \implies g + h \in K_0^+(\mathcal{X})$), and associate to each a $*$-homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{X}_2$ a group homomorphism $K_0(\phi) : K_0(\mathcal{X}_1) \to K_0(\mathcal{X}_2)$ satisfying $K_0(\phi)(K_0^+(\mathcal{X}_1)) \subseteq K_0^+(\mathcal{X}_2)$. The functor K_1 associate to each C-algebra $\mathcal{X}$ an abelian group $K_1(\mathcal{X})$ and to each a $*$-homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{X}_2$ a group homomorphism $K_1(\phi) : K_1(\mathcal{X}_1) \to K_1(\mathcal{X}_2)$.

That K_0 and K_1 are functors means that $K_0(\operatorname{Id} \mathcal{X}) = \operatorname{Id}_{K_0(\mathcal{X})}$ and $K_1(\operatorname{Id} \mathcal{X}) = \operatorname{Id}_{K_1(\mathcal{X})}$ for every C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$, and that $K_0(\phi_1 \circ \phi_2) = K_0(\phi_1) \circ K_0(\phi_2)$ and $K_1(\phi_1 \circ \phi_2) = K_1(\phi_1) \circ K_1(\phi_2)$ for all $*$-homomorphisms $\phi_1 : \mathcal{X}_1 \to \mathcal{X}_2$ and $\phi_2 : \mathcal{X}_2 \to \mathcal{X}_3$. Thus if two

C^*-algebras are isomorphic, then $K_0(\mathcal{X}_1)$ and $K_0(\mathcal{X}_2)$ are isomorphic as groups, and so are $K_1(\mathcal{X}_1)$ and $K_1(\mathcal{X}_2)$. In fact, $K_0(\mathcal{X}_1)$ and $K_0(\mathcal{X}_2)$ are isomorphic by an isomorphism which maps $K_0^+(\mathcal{X}_1)$ onto $K_0^+(\mathcal{X}_2)$.

If $p\mathcal{X}p$ is a full corner of a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{X}$ and ι denotes the inclusion of $p\mathcal{X}p$ into $\mathcal{X}$, then $K_0(\iota)$ and $K_1(\iota)$ are both isomorphisms, and the isomorphism $K_0(\iota)$ maps $K_0^+(p\mathcal{X}p)$ onto $K_0^+(\mathcal{X})$, see [20, Proposition B.3]. Thus if two C^*-algebras are Morita equivalent, then $K_1(\mathcal{X}_1)$ and $K_1(\mathcal{X}_2)$ are isomorphic as groups, and $K_0(\mathcal{X}_1)$ and $K_0(\mathcal{X}_2)$ are isomorphic as groups by an isomorphism which maps $K_0^+(\mathcal{X}_1)$ onto $K_0^+(\mathcal{X}_2)$.

Bibliography

[1] William Arveson. *An invitation to C^*-algebras.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 39.

[2] Teresa Bates, Toke Meier Carlsen, and Søren Eilers. Dimension groups associated to β-expansions. *Math. Scand.*, 100(2):198–208, 2007.

[3] B. Blackadar. *Operator algebras*, volume 122 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006. Theory of C^*-algebras and von Neumann algebras, Operator Algebras and Non-commutative Geometry, III.

[4] Bruce Blackadar. *K-theory for operator algebras*, volume 5 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998.

[5] Mike Boyle and David Handelman. Orbit equivalence, flow equivalence and ordered cohomology. *Israel J. Math.*, 95:169–210, 1996.

[6] Lawrence G. Brown, Philip Green, and Marc A. Rieffel. Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C^*-algebras. *Pacific J. Math.*, 71(2):349–363, 1977.

[7] Toke M. Carlsen and Søren Eilers. Augmenting dimension group invariants for substitution dynamics. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 24(4):1015–1039, 2004.

[8] Toke M. Carlsen and Søren Eilers. Ordered K-groups associated to substitutional dynamics. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 238(1):99–117, 2006.

[9] Toke Meier Carlsen. C^*-algebras associated to general shift spaces. Master's thesis, University of Copenhagen, 2000.

[10] Toke Meier Carlsen. On C^*-algebras associated with sofic shifts. *J. Operator Theory*, 49(1):203–212, 2003.

[11] Toke Meier Carlsen. *Operator Algebraic Applications in Symbolic Dynamics*. PhD thesis, Department of Mathematics Institute for Mathematical Sciences Faculty of Science University of Copenhagen, 2004.

[12] Toke Meier Carlsen. Cuntz-Pimsner C^*-algebras associated with subshifts. *Internat. J. Math.*, 19(1):47–70, 2008.

[13] Toke Meier Carlsen and Søren Eilers. Matsumoto K-groups associated to certain shift spaces. *Doc. Math.*, 9:639–671 (electronic), 2004.

[14] Toke Meier Carlsen and Kengo Matsumoto. Some remarks on the C^*-algebras associated with subshifts. *Math. Scand.*, 95(1):145–160, 2004.

[15] Toke Meier Carlsen and Sergei Silvestrov. C^*-crossed products and shift spaces. *Expo. Math.*, 25(4):275–307, 2007.

[16] Joachim Cuntz and Wolfgang Krieger. A class of C^*-algebras and topological Markov chains. *Invent. Math.*, 56(3):251–268, 1980.

[17] John Franks. Flow equivalence of subshifts of finite type. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 4(1):53–66, 1984.

[18] Shunji Ito and Yōichirō Takahashi. Markov subshifts and realization of β-expansions. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 26:33–55, 1974.

[19] Yoshikazu Katayama, Kengo Matsumoto, and Yasuo Watatani. Simple C^*-algebras arising from β-expansion of real numbers. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 18(4):937–962, 1998.

[20] Takeshi Katsura. On C^*-algebras associated with C^*-correspondences. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 217(2):366–401, 2004.

[21] Wolfgang Krieger and Kengo Matsumoto. Shannon graphs, subshifts and lambda-graph systems. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 54(4):877–899, 2002.

[22] Wolfgang Krieger and Kengo Matsumoto. A lambda-graph system for the Dyck shift and its K-groups. *Doc. Math.*, 8:79–96 (electronic), 2003.

[23] Douglas Lind and Brian Marcus. *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[24] Kengo Matsumoto. On C^*-algebras associated with subshifts. *Internat. J. Math.*, 8(3):357–374, 1997.

[25] Kengo Matsumoto. Interpolated Cuntz algebras from β-expansions of real numbers. *Sūrikaisekikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku*, (1024):84–86, 1998. Profound development of operator algebras (Japanese) (Kyoto, 1997).

[26] Kengo Matsumoto. K-theory for C^*-algebras associated with subshifts. *Math. Scand.*, 82(2):237–255, 1998.

[27] Kengo Matsumoto. Dimension groups for subshifts and simplicity of the associated C^*-algebras. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 51(3):679–698, 1999.

[28] Kengo Matsumoto. Presentations of subshifts and their topological conjugacy invariants. *Doc. Math.*, 4:285–340 (electronic), 1999.

[29] Kengo Matsumoto. Relations among generators of C^*-algebras associated with subshifts. *Internat. J. Math.*, 10(3):385–405, 1999.

[30] Kengo Matsumoto. A simple C^*-algebra arising from a certain subshift. *J. Operator Theory*, 42(2):351–370, 1999.

[31] Kengo Matsumoto. On automorphisms of C^*-algebras associated with subshifts. *J. Operator Theory*, 44(1):91–112, 2000.

[32] Kengo Matsumoto. Stabilized C^*-algebras constructed from symbolic dynamical systems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 20(3):821–841, 2000.

[33] Kengo Matsumoto. Bowen-Franks groups as an invariant for flow equivalence of subshifts. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 21(6):1831–1842, 2001.

[34] Kengo Matsumoto. Bowen-Franks groups for subshifts and Ext-groups for C^*-algebras. *K-Theory*, 23(1):67–104, 2001.

[35] Kengo Matsumoto. Strong shift equivalence of symbolic dynamical systems and Morita equivalence of C^*-algebras. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 24(1):199–215, 2004.

[36] Kengo Matsumoto, Yasuo Watatani, and Masamichi Yoshida. KMS states for gauge actions on C^*-algebras associated with subshifts. *Math. Z.*, 228(3):489–509, 1998.

[37] Gerard J. Murphy. *C^*-algebras and operator theory.* Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.

[38] Masakazu Nasu. Topological conjugacy for sofic systems. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 6(2):265–280, 1986.

[39] Masakazu Nasu. Textile systems for endomorphisms and automorphisms of the shift. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 114(546):viii+215, 1995.

[40] Bill Parry and Dennis Sullivan. A topological invariant of flows on 1-dimensional spaces. *Topology*, 14(4):297–299, 1975.

[41] Iain Raeburn and Dana P. Williams. *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^*-algebras*, volume 60 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998.

[42] M. Rørdam, F. Larsen, and N. Laustsen. *An introduction to K-theory for C^*-algebras*, volume 49 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.

[43] N. E. Wegge-Olsen. *K-theory and C^*-algebras.* Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1993. A friendly approach.